Annotating Publishing

Publishing as instituent practice

Annotating Publishing

Publishing as instituent practice

This Reader is based on a broad concept of publishing, which is neither a linear process nor a single moment, but an interplay of interwoven processes, institutions, actors, and practices: Publishing circumspans research, reading, content creation, production, circulation, and reception (e.g., through commenting and sharing). The traditional boundaries between these activities due to new modes of writing and sharing contents (e.g. on platforms like google.docs and social media) have moved closer together, both temporally and formally. The title of the Reader picks up one of the key theoretical models of the project Institutions as a Way of Life, which it is part of: the instituent practice. For Gerald Raunig, an "instituent practice" is a form of institutional critique that not only optimizes but also changes the object of its critique, a form of critique that is able to escape its object and in this flight to change the conditions of the discussion. Against this background, this Reader focuses on instituent forms of publishing in academia reflecting their conditions and putting them up for discussion.

The Reader is assembled from a number of texts that analyze the current conditions of acdemic publishing and academia in general: In the context of an increasingly branding-focused, marketand profit-driven academic knowledge industry and their (only nominal) insistence on global openness pushed forward by forms of top-down, policy driven Open Access publishing entirely predicated on the penchants of the neoliberal knowledge economy—these authors look at how systems of academic knowledge production and communication (of which publishing is a part of) perpetuate and create modes of exclusivity, inequality and precarity related to e.g. gender, class, citizenship and ethnicity.

Taking into account these circumstances the authors conjoined in this Reader reflect on how far the instituent, interventionist, and speculative capacity of counter-hegemonial (e.g.artist, feminist, intersectional) publishing could be beneficial for questioning and challenging the status quo. Publishing, for them, potentially is a way to intervene into existing research, writing, and publishing (and therefore also collaboration and communication) systems, and to propose and enact ways of knowledge(s) production, circulation, and consumption that adhere to different ethics and value systems. A major part of the authors we selected for this Reader — Janneke Adema, Gary Hall, Stephven Shukaitis, Gerald Raunig — is involved in publishing initiatives like scholar-led publishing houses or university presses. There they demonstrate the need for a reassessment of the cultures of knowledge production, not just accessibility of research. They also acknowledge that challenging the fixtures and blind spots of contemporary academia that are reflected within the practices, procedures, and institutions of conventional publishing.

In their texts, they write about their own practices, reflect them, and highlight the problematics and struggles occurring through them. They speculate about new ways for academic publishing, and suggest alternative perspectives. This results in a certain urgency: the selected texts have manifesto-type characters. And just like the practices of the authors, they are meant to intervene into the status quo of publishing.

Inspired by a long tradition of feminist critique of knowledge production and their attention to "making a difference" as well as to "retaining differences", with this Reader we aim to ask how to institute publishing differently: as a movement towards marginalized groups, non-canonized knowledges, alternative forms of knowledge production and transmission. Beyond the geoand ego-politics of academic knowledge; within and beyond academia, within the practices of researching, reading, writing and communicating (by — within publishing and through circulating publications — addressing and making publics).

The presses and networks referred to in the articles selected for this reader suggest that to publish differently is never conclusive or contained but is an ongoing struggle. It is a struggle that calls for a reassessment of our everyday practices of research, reading and writing, of the

chrononormativity publishing is determined by: it calls for reconsidering who and what we become involved with when we publish, and who and what not, and to whom and to what we open up within publishing and publications; "of who counts in publishing and what counts as publishing not only taking care of just the human relations instantiated within and through publishing but also the material". It calls for a reassessment of our self-understandings as academics, writers and artists. For only if the motivations, ambitions and expectations that are generally associated with publishing are reconsidered can we think that alternatives to publishing in and with the university be worked out. Rather than insisting on a presupposed "open for" we aim to suggest to verbalize open(ing), to perpetually perform it through situated practices of relating.

Rebekka Kiesewetter, PhD researcher at the Centre for Postdigital Cultures (CPC) at Coventry University

Lucie Kolb, artist and post-doc researcher in the project "Institutions as a Way of Life"

Annotation Scores

Annotating traditionally is understood as a byproduct of attentive reading. It is a manifestation of critical literacy and learnedness. A commentarial, interpretative layer distinct from the text's bodymaterially (how and where it was written and produced), temporally (when it was written and produced) and auctorially (by whom it was written and produced). Annotating, as an activity that evolves subsequently to a texts writing, editing and publishing, predominantly is leaving the text's status as an authority and as a secluded work untouched. But as much as a critical editing practice involves more than selecting, framing and circulating texts, a critical reading should not merely be concerned with intellectually processing/ absorbing written sentences.

What if annotating were understood as a possibility for a grounded, reflexive and self-critical encounter with, within and beyond a text its political, ideological, economic and institutional underpinnings; its materiality (from its production

to its manifestation as a physical artifact); the human and non-human agencies involved in its becoming and the ones that are not? Can annotating become a ground for more intimate and less alienated ways of relating with different knowledges and agencies, for thinking and making together? Consequently, we aim to propose annotating as an act of intervention; as a form of coproduction in dialogue with the text itself, with what is already there and with what is not there perpetually instantiating relationships with and openings towards what has been hidden, neglected, notrecognized, silenced and overrun. To facilitate this somewhat uncommon "messing" with texts instead of "processing" and "consuming" them, we provide a couple of scores. They are an invitation for the readers to enter texts as social spaces of study, and facilitate entering into an active intellectual, material and physical relation with the texts. The scores are not prescriptions nor standard procedures. They are also not meant to "program" the reader's/co-producer's gaze along with our intentions. Rather they are performative props, tools and support structures that allow to grasp, sense and touch these texts physically, viscerally and mentally, to enter them together and alone. The scores oppose a purely abstract understanding of the text with one that does not understand the text in isolation from its paratexts and its materiality. This includes any illustrations, biographies of authors, publishing stories, footnotes, quoted sources, but also paper quality, format, typography, colourfulness and odour. When reading, however, it is important not only to include what is available, but also to ask what is missing.

Working with the "Annotation Scores" will leave traces in the text, or scars if you will, through which a text can be reassembled. It will put the text into play, and open a new set of relations, a new way of being together, thinking together. The score is only important insofar as it helps you to enter.

Print a page of your text. Read it briefly.

the state

pun

Treantsation,

ktion

MUN

T-M. Hewite

50% of the group stands with their eyes closed, while the others walk around in the room, first quietly browsing the text and then reading it out loud (whispering to room volume). Observe how the text affects your movements and vice versa; pay attention to the rhythm of your feet, to how your feet touch the floor, to how you move in the room, to where the other the rhythm of the text and that of your body. Pay attention to the gaps — your body. Pay attention to the gaps — hereon the words, between your steps, in your head. Let your movements and the text flow together. If you like: Emphasize, repeat, skip. 00 Change roles. Close your eyes, crumple the text, feel the paper. Fold the paper apart again & blink. 🖉 Put the text away. Meet in groups of 2–3 people & sketch (medium open) what you remember from the last 10 minutes.



Re-assemble, re-tell, re-write, re-perform

the text collaboratively by memory based on your experiences during score 1. Use any means and media at your disposal.

, there

3

WK: ON

CCESS

Imagine this text as a "meaning making machine". Represent the agency that runs and services the machine pictorially.

Form a group. Draw a table with x columns. On top of each column put one of the elements that align to produce and sustain the meaning conveyed through this text.

moming Cut the text up and try to assign all text parts (that can be words, sentences, representations of things that only are implicitly present in the texts) **MUNICA** to a column. Explain your decisions, in case this is needed. and

Change at least one of the sinor column headings. Discuss what this change might effectuate.

Jammeke Aderma and Garry

this essay we angue that the medium of the tto (Million mad U. Al. of mithing and with the line of an and

POLITICAL NATURE OF T

STS' BOOKS AND RADICAL





17. James Langdon (ed), Book, Birmingham. Eastside Projects,

Ulises Carrión Bookworks Revisited', in James Langdon (ed). Book, Birmingham Eastside Projects.

19. Drucker, The Century of Artists' Books, op. cit., pp3-4

20. Ibid., p360.

21. Tom Sowden and Sarah Bodman, A Manifesto for the Book, Impact Press 2010. p9

22. Drucker. The Century of Artists' Books, op. cit.

4 **Perform/enact** a conversation (e.g. around responsibility, positionality, accountability, accessibility; authorship, publics) between the actors that take part in this text's creation, production and distribution, including yourselves – as readers/public, further actual and potential publics, and the author.

5 Use an incorrect word in place of a word with similar sound & read it out loud.





6 **Delete** all punctuation & read it out loud.







Nictorian London (mailed in the language) of the production of the language of the production of the p

s online is clear. What has been le ie evidence that readers engage p uch formal education mean to teac as Stuart Hall and Janice Rady



Media scholars such as Henry Jenkins (2006) have long studied how 8 the "convergence culture" of engaging analog texts in digital contexts Omit all the gender pronouns d texts. Other scholars & read the text out loud. ² ular textual engagement online or. In her analysis of Sherlock

Holmes fans on (1997) identifier to produce scholarshi ters (some self (Nakamur sion and curation of .com blend literary pe tantly extends earlier d example, Roberta Pearson ourds used networks or "Conan Doyle us" to the characreaders. Lisa herow online discus-**Second Reads** New York Such work imporrole of textual circulation in

textual circulation in textual circulation in s Stuart Hall the powerful publics, as theorized by schol-ominence of tiway, into digital spaces. sionatch with both peers and of informal educatio For many, seeing ver has fueled a hunt for Michelle D. Miller (2^{iustry.} Witness the ractive pedagogies una definition interview of the students and interview in the students and it. and fire them up emo f those publisher the foot the toos mental pedagogues te extremely high shared computer ternse their work free g student paper drafts over worked environme, bok all uou038 and interactions afforded in net-saging contemported with the unot the active and progressive. Pre-cathy Davidson (12) and (10 8 and 10 8 and 10 8 and 10 10 and 10 the teacher-student and up out of the spring. Sherlocki $m_{i_{1}}$, predictability, an $\sqrt{1^{PTOW}}$ $\frac{1}{2}$ $\frac{1}{2}$ historio f_{0} des of Victorian Londe p^{0} sino ver their f ite my h_{0} des Lancie ite my h_{i_1} ies, Jennings observed in his early "network *i* writing class learned through eact^{or} ner's work in progress online anship of the traditional classroom. In optimistic accounts of i_{i} networked readers and writers like these, the digital space was

9 Publish and design a text in a way so no one will ever read it. Discuss it with others. "Charladrian ocholarahin" III Haturer CITCI

⁻¹evelop Academia



s into a network of online woman of color Deminism, 'a community arners and vernacular educators when the dedicated to enacting al justice by sharing radical texts and gaging in long, written 10 bout them. This digital consciousness raising of Tumble 11 Work in pairs of two. but one in which nobody + not the **Scan** one of the texts in a copy Write individually an annotation prers who scanned and machine of an institution to one of the texts of the feminists of color writing and cri-(university, library, shop etc.), Reader, include references. Exchange iding his educa- leave the original in the machine. texts. Draw a map of the references, on Vahor which ming it to her students as a leave out? Discuss in pairs of two. just to take an. ment about technological change between the 1980s pontoday. It **liversity's campus bookstore.** so to bring a critical function of This Bridge its concity to repreand interpolate a network of socially engaged readers and peer cators—into conversation with scholarly debates about digital agogy and academic labor. By looking at This Bridge, in both anaand digital forms, as a networked pedagogical object, we trace it calls attention to the social conditions of its production as part s larger encounter about the importance of circulation and connecfor "third world" women's narratives. *This Bridge* invites readers ecome vernaculated ucators, prefiguring the world of digital peday by invoking the power of networked learning for minoritized ing communities. At the same time, both the legally distributed k and the pirated version online raise ethical concerns about the ven distribution of work, ownership, and <u>social power</u> in pedagogispaces. For scholars and teachers thinking arough the transforve potential of digital pedagogy, an examination of This bridge ts dant social networks calls is to constructive norms sense tion, and remuneration for women of color doing unpaid cational labor online

ich a conversation is overdue, in no small part because many of





References

Gary Hall is one of the directors of the international, not-for-profit, scholar-led open access publishing collective Open Humanity Press. Together with Janneke Adema he is also a member of the Editorial Board. Both are among the initiators of the Radical Open Access collective, "a network of publishers, theorists, scholars, librarians, technology specialists, activists and others, from different fields and backgrounds, both inside and outside of the university who are exploring a vision of open access that is characterised by a spirit of on-going creative experimentation, and a willingness to subject some of our most established scholarly communication and publishing practices, together with the institutions that sustain them (the library, publishin g house etc.), to rigorous critique." The text exemplifies how "a reading of the history of the artist's book can be generative for reimagining the future of the scholarly monograph, both with respect to the latter's potential form and materiality in the digital age, and with respect to its relation to the economic system in which book production, distribution, organisation and consumption takes place". Against this background the authors call for a re-consideration of the modes, formats and politics of scholarly publication and publishing - trajectories that they seek to implement in their manifold publishing initiatives.

ADEMA, Janneke, and Gary Hall, "The Political Nature of the Book: On Artists' Books and Radical Open Access." New Formations 78, no. 78 (July 1, 2013): 138-56. https://doi.org/10.3898/NewF.78.07.2013.

> A companion text could be thought of as a companion species, to borrow from Donna Haraway's (2003) suggestive formulation. A companion text is a text whose company enabled you to proceed on a path less trodden. Such texts might spark a moment of revelation in the midst of an overwhelming proximity; they might share a feeling or give you resources to make sense of something that had been beyond your grasp; companion texts can prompt you to hesitate or to question the direction in which you are going, or they might give you a sense that in going the way you are going, you are not alone. (Ahmed, Sara. Living a Feminist Life. Durham: Duke University Press, 2017, p. 21.)

AHMED, Sara. Living a Feminist Life. Durham: Duke University Press, 2017.

> Albornoz, Denisse. "The Rise of Big Publishers in Development and What Is at Stake." The Knowledge G.A.P. (blog), October 1, 2017. (http://knowledgegap.org/index. php/2017/09/20/the-rise-of-big-publishers-in-developmentwhat-is-at-stake/.) Ángel Octavio Álvarez Solís is a professor at the Universidad Iberoamericana in Mexico City. His text looks into the concepts of the 20th and 21st Century university in Europe, North and Latin America. He discusses the university as a contradictory system creating exclusions while, at the same time, promoting the democratization of knowledge (whereas democracy itself can be considered as a product of Western enlightenment). The author also discusses how the university's function as an allegory of state politics has been dissolved by an overpowering "managerial dispositif".

Lisa Nakamura and Cassius Adair both are teaching and researching at the University of Michigan, Ann Arbor. Their text traces the circulation (and versioning) of and the informal networks unfolding around the official and unofficial editions of the woman of color feminist anthology This Bridge Called My Back, as it has migrated from licensed paper to PDF format. Against this background they discuss analog and digital forms of open-access woman of color pedagogy promoting the free circulation of knowledge in opposition to hierarchic content distribution and educational systems. Therewith the authors aim to shed light on the "literary and social labor of networked marginalized readers and writers who produce it", and call for a reconsideration of academic labor and the modeling for alternatives to neoliberal university systems.

ADAIR, Cassius, and Lisa Nakamura.

"The Digital Afterlives of This Bridge Called My Back: Woman of Color Feminism, Digital Labor, and Networked Pedagogy." American Literature 89, no. 2 (June 2017): 255-78. https://doi. org/10.1215/00029831-3861505.

ALBORNOZ, Denisse. "The Rise of Big Publishers in Development and What Is at Stake." The Knowledge G.A.P. (blog), October 1, 2017. http://knowledgegap.org/index. php/2017/09/20/the-rise-of-big-publishers-in-developmentwhat-is-at-stake/.

ÁLVAREZ Solís, Ángel Octavio. "The Managerial Impasse of the Latin American University." In The Geopolitics of Open, 26-33. Coventry: Post Office Press, Rope Press and Culture Machine, 2018.

CHEN, George, Tasneem Mewa, Denisse Albornoz, and Maggie Huang. "Geopolitical Inequalities Behind 'Open' Infrastructures for Academic Knowledge Production." In The Geopolitics of Open, 6-15. **Coventry: Post Office Press, Rope Press and Culture** Machine, 2018.

DEAN, Jodi. The Communist Horizon. London; New York: Verso, 2012.

DEBRAY, Régis. "Socialism: A Life-Cycle." New Left Review, no. 46 (2007): 5-28.

EICHHORN, Kate. The Archival Turn in Feminism: Outragein Order. Philadelphia: Temple University Press, 2013.

FISHER, Tom. "Literary Communism and Communal Poetics, Part 1." Counter Signals 1 (2016): 14–16.

—. "Literary Communism and Communal Poetics, Part 2. "Counter Signals 2 (2017): 180-84.

HALL, Gary. "The Left Can't Meme." Personal Website. Media Gifts (blog), February 18, 2019. http://www.garyhall. info/journal/2019/2/18/the-left-cant-meme.html.

> Sarah Kember is the publisher of Goldsmith press. She analyses the situation for institutional publishing and stresses the need for a re-politiczation of this area that reenacts specifically feminist agendas and investments in care ethics, agency, responsibility, experimentation and intervention.

KEMBER, Sarah. "Why Write? Feminism, Publishing and the Politics of Communication." New Formations 83, no. 83 (December 20, 2014): 99-116. https://doi.org/10.3898/ NEWF.83.06.2014.

LOWIEN, Merve. Weibliche Produktivkraft - gibt es eine andere Ökonomie? Erfahrungen in einem linken Projekt. Internationale marxistische Diskussion 65. Berlin: Merve-Verl, 1977.

> Gabriela Méndez Cota is a researcher at the Universidad Iberoamericana in Mexico City. From her standpoint of an early-career female researcher from the Global South, she discusses the concept of scholarship, its accessability and the implications, conditions and consequences of being expected to participate in it.

MÉNDEZ Cota, Gabriela. "On Being Heard: The Content and the Form of Geopolitics." In The Geopolitics of Open, 16-25. Coventry: Post Office Press, Rope Press and Culture Machine, 2018.

HOLZER, Sabina, and Defne Erdur. "On Scores." Mind the Dance (blog), n.d. http://mindthedance.com/#article/52/on-scores.

HOLZER, Sabina. "Tracing the Invisible: Writing as a Trace." Mind the Dance (blog), n.d. http://mindthedance.com/#article/ 56/tracing-the-invisible-writing-as-a-trace.

MORAGA, Cherríe, and Toni Cade Bambara, eds. This Bridge Called My Back: Writings by Radical Women of Color. 2. ed., 7. printing. New York, NY: Kitchen Table, 1983.

PRECARIAS a la deriva. "Adrift through the Circuits of Feminized Precarious Work." Transversal 07/04 precariat (2004). https://transversal.at/transversal/ 0704/precarias-a-la-deriva/en.

> This is an unpublished manuscript of a lecture held in the framework of the conference "After Audience" on June 9 2018 at Belvedere Vienna. The conference was convened by eipcp as part of the Midstream project aiming at questioning the concept and practice of audience. It was intended to ask questions about the successors to the figure once called an audience. Raunig's lecture outlines a form of para-academic publishing practice that seeks to rethink the concept of the audience. We have selected the text not least because Raunig and eipcp recently founded a publishing initiative named transversal texts that actively intervenes in the realm of academic writing and publishing.

RAUNIG, Gerald. "Abschlussakte After Audience, 9. Juni 2018," June 9, 2018.

READ-IN, and Hackers & Designers. "Why Are the Authors of the Books I Read so White, so Male, so Eurocentric?" Feminist Search Tool (blog), n.d. https://feministsearchtool.nl/.

This text by Stevphen Shukaitis was published by eipcp as part of a thematic issue on publishing titled "aufstand der verlegten" (insurrection of the published). The issue outlined a fundamental crisis in the publishing industry triggered by a domestication of styles, forms and formats, and hegemonic mechanisms of exclusion like peer reviews, impact factors, ranking, and rigid copyright regimes. Shukaitis puts the imperative in the title of the issue in a question mark. He discusses 10 aspects necessary to consider for an insurrection of the published to become reality. Shukaitis himself is a publisher, he is the founder of minor compositions.

SHUKAITIS, Stevphen. "Toward an Insurrection of the Published? Ten Thoughts on Ticks & Comrades." Transversal 06/14 aufstand der verlegten (2014). <u>http://eipcp.net/transver-</u> sal/0614, http://transversal.at//transversal/0614

SOLANAS, Valerie. SCUM Manifesto. Verso, 2016. <u>http://www.myilibrary.com?id=900025.</u>

THOBURN, Nicholas. Anti-Book: On the Art and Politics of Radical Publishing. A Cultural Critique Book. Minneapolis: University of Minnesota Press, 2016.

WEINMAYR, Eva. "Confronting Authorship, Constructing Practices (How Copyright Is Destroying Collective Practice)." In Whose Book Is It Anyway?: A View from Elsewhere on Publishing, Copyright and Creativity, edited by Janis Jefferies and Sarah Kember. Open Book Publishers, 2019. <u>https://doi.org/10.11647/OBP.0159</u>

------. "Sarah Kember: Rethinking Where the Thinking Happens." Andpublishing (blog), n.d. <u>http://andpublishing.org/sa-rah-kember-rethinking-where-the-thinking-happens/</u>



This reader was published as part of the Annotating series of workshops and publications, convened during the research project Institutions as a Way of Life, conducted between 2017 and 2021 at the Institute for Experimental Design and Media Cultures at the School of Art and Design FHNW Basel.

Each publication is the result of a workshop and discussion among the contributors, with the aim to elaborate and explore perspectives on institutional practices.

Contributors

Livia Andrea Piazza, Heike Bröckerhoff Susannah Haslam & Tom Clark Genevieve Costello, ReUnion Network Mela Dávila Freire Bernhard Garnicnig Rebecca Carson and Verina Gfader Rebekka Kiesewetter & Lucie Kolb Dorothee King Sonia Malpeso Sarrita Hunn & James McAnally

Editor Bernhard Garnicnig

In 2023, the publication was reunited and reuploaded at <u>annotatinginstitutions.multiplace.org</u>, for which we are grateful to the wonderful hosts.